IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

ARCHER AND WHITE SALES, INC.

Plaintiff,

v.

HENRY SCHEIN, INC., DANAHER CORPORATION, INSTRUMENTARIUM DENTAL, INC., DENTAL EQUIPMENT, LLC, KAVO DENTAL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, DENTAL IMAGING TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION, PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., AND BENCO DENTAL SUPPLY CO.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 2:12-CV-00572-JRG

PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE AND OBJECTION TO NOTICE OF JOINDER

On January 24, 2020 the Court held a final pretrial conference. During that pretrial conference, the Court received a copy of the Order of the Supreme Court of the United States staying this case. Dkt. No. 511. During the conference the Court further noted the application of the stay, and held that this case would remain in recess until such time as the Supreme Court either denies the writ of certiorari filed by Defendants Henry Schein, or until the Supreme Court enters judgment—at which time the stay will be lifted.

Despite the stay, on January 28, 2019, Defendant Benco Dental Supply Co. (Benco) filed a "Notice of Joinder" (Dkt. No. 512) purporting to join a Motion to Compel Plaintiff to Arbitrate and to Stay Proceedings filed by defendant Henry Schein, Inc. (Schein) on October 1, 2012. Dkt. No. 512. Although Benco styled its pleading as a "notice," it requests affirmative relief from this Court and seeks to join a pleading filed by another party over *seven years ago*.

Plaintiff Archer and White Sales, Inc. (Archer) files this response and objection solely to note its opposition and to preserve its rights. Given the stay in this case, the parties should not be seeking affirmative relief from the Court, nor should the court should be burdened with addressing Archer's response. When the stay of proceedings is lifted, Archer will file an appropriate motion to strike or other response to the Notice of Joinder. By adhering to the Order of the Supreme Court, Archer is not waiving and fully intends to preserve all of its rights.

¹At such time as the documentation of settlement is completed with Defendants Patterson, Danaher and the Manufacturing Defendants, the settling parties will likely file requests for dismissal. Such filings seeking merely to bring portions of the dispute to an end are different from seeking affirmative relief in the litigation. But, the parties will of course defer to the Court's interpretation of the stay order.

Dated: January 29, 2020.

MCKOOL SMITH, P.C.

/s/ Samuel F. Baxter

Samuel F. Baxter Texas State Bar No. 01938000 sbaxter@McKoolSmith.com

McKool Smith, P.C.

104 E. Houston, Suite 300 Marshall, Texas 75670 Telephone: (903) 923-9000

Facsimile: (903) 923-9099

Lewis T. LeClair Texas State Bar No. 12072500 lleclair@mckoolsmith.com Gary Cruciani Texas State Bar No. 05177300 gcruciani@McKoolSmith.com Travis E. DeArman Texas State Bar No. 24074117 tdearman@McKoolSmith.com Chelsea A. Priest Texas State Bar No. 24102375 cpriest@McKoolSmith.com McKool Smith, P.C. 300 Crescent Court Suite 1500 Dallas, TX 75201

Telephone: (214) 978-4000 Facsimile: (214) 978-4044

Charles E. Fowler, Jr. Texas State Bar No. 24083014 cfowler@McKoolSmith.com McKool Smith, P.C. 300 W. 6th Street, Suite 1700 Austin, TX 78701 Telephone: (512) 692-8700

Facsimile: (512) 692-8744

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF ARCHER AND WHITE SALES, INC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document has been served on all counsel of record via electronic mail on January 29, 2020.

/s/ Travis DeArman
Travis DeArman